Challenge to forensic podiatry crime scene report: Footprint-based gait analysis in homicide case revealed the perpetrator as the deceased victim's wife who was also the complainant
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ABSTRACT
To identify the offender and unravel the mystery, physical evidence must be found at the crime scenes. When it is first taken from crime scenes, the relevance of some pieces of evidence is unclear or seems inconsequential, but as the investigation goes on, it seems to gain significance. Although footprints are a common physical indicator of a crime, including homicide, burglary, and sexual assault, they are frequently overlooked or dismissed as inconsequential during the early stages of an investigation. Currently, individual identification is done through gait pattern analysis based on footprints. A branch of forensic science known as forensic podiatry examines foot-related evidence in the course of a criminal investigation using specific podiatric knowledge, including the foot and lower limb. Analysis of footprints and gait is most consistent with other pattern-based forms of evidence, such as blood pattern analysis. Most cases of homicide and burglary involve the gait pattern evidence. Additionally, detectives frequently disregard crime scenes due to their familiarity. Science in podiatry is lacking. The current crime scene report, which was researched by the corresponding author (Prof. T. Nataraja Moorthy, henceforth referred to as "TN") in India, highlighted the importance of footprint and gait pattern evidence in a distinctive homicide crime scene. In India, a woman reported her husband's murder to the police, but a footprint-based gait pattern analysis proved she was the murderer, not the unidentified assailant. She committed murder while hiding the crime, which is known as "crime concealment".
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Introduction
Forensic science works on physical evidence and hence the forensic investigators are searching for physical evidence in the crime scenes to ink the crime and criminal (Henry & Elaine, 2013). Physical evidence may be either a microscopic or a massive item that present at the crime scenes, as silent witness. The physical evidence encountered in the crime scenes are footprint (Laurent et al., 2020), fingerprint (Nataraja Moorthy et al., 2018), hair (Nataraja Moorthy & Hairunnisa, 2018), hand writings (Nataraja Moorthy & Khaja, 2019), soil (Nataraja & T., 2018), fiber (Nataraja & T., 2019), charred materials (Nataraja & T., 2017), plastic bags (Nataraja, T., M.M., et al., 2014) in drug cases and many others. This physical evidence is the link recovering from the crime scenes or from the victims used to solve the mystery. The significance of some evidence appears unknown or unimportant at the time of collection from crime scene but seemingly important as the investigation proceeds.

Literature review
Footprint is an important physical evidence found in many crime scenes such as homicide, burglary and sexual assault, but is simply ignored even in the initial stage of investigation, considering unimportant. Accordingly, the gait pattern evidence found mostly in homicide and burglary are also simply by the investigators. Forensic podiatry is a sub discipline of forensic science in which specialized podiatric knowledge including foot and lower limb anatomy, used in the examination of footrelated evidence in the context of a criminal investigation. Footprint and gait analysis are most aligned with other pattern based form of evidence like blood pattern analysis. The present crime scene report as investigated...
by the corresponding author (Prof. T. Nataraja Moorthy, hereafter as "TN") in India indicated the value of footprint and gait pattern evidence to arrive a conclusion in a homicide case wherein a wife murdered his husband and lodged a complaint with police stated, that somebody had murdered her husband when she went for work.

Methods

Gist of the case

A village woman in India aged about 40 years old had lodge a complaint in the police station stated that her husband named Chinnakaman, aged about 48 years old found murdered in their house while she returned from work. A case was registered u/s 302 IPC and forensic crime scene investigator was summoned to visit the crime scene and assist the investigation. The forensic crime scene investigator TN visited the spot and examined the scene of crime.

Examination of the crime scene

On arrival at the crime scene, TN made preliminary enquiries with relatives and neighbours. He then prepared a crime scene sketch as shown in figure 1. It is an indoor crime scene, a small house without any rooms. The entrance of the house was located in western side of the house and a small bath room cum toilet, outside the house. The northern end of the house area was used as a kitchen and noticed cooking utensils near the oven and on the western side wall, only one window was present. An old laundry basket was seen in the southern end of the house. A male dead body named Chinnakaman, the husband of the complainant was found in a pool of blood. The body was found in northwest direction with head on northern side and face facing the roof. He worn lungi with shirt and the face was covered with blood stains. Blood spurt found around the head region.

![Figure 1. Indoor Crime Scene](image)

Around 2 feet from the head, TN noticed a grinding stone, generally used those days for grinding chillies, turmeric, coriander etc to make masala paste for the preparation of curry. Before electric blenders, people used a rolling stone over thick flat granite slab for grinding spices manually. On examination of the grinding stone, hair pieces were found on the rolling stone with blood stains. Interestingly TN noticed blood stained footprints based gait pattern originated from the dead body ended near the door. The crime scene was searched for the presence of any fingerprints and 2 fingerprints were lifted and tallied with the complainant, who used to handle these articles during her routine work in the house. Usually it is Considered inmate fingerprint, since handled by the inmates regularly and hence ignored by the investigators.
The only evidence found at this scene was blood stained gait pattern with foot impressions. Footprints are classified into 2D and 3D footprints, the 2D footprints are found in hard surfaces while 3Ds are in soft surfaces and both types can be used to estimate stature (Nataraja Moorthy & Hairunnisa, 2018), gender (Nataraja et al., 2015) and body weight (Nataraja, T., & M.A.K., 2014). Also footprint shows individual characteristics for personal identification and is population specific (NatarajaMoorthy & Siti Fatimah, 2018). In the present crime scene, 2D footprint based gait pattern found near the dead body, the only linking evidence to identify the murderer.

Result and discussion

The footprints were appeared small in size and most probably from the female and not male prints. Earlier enquiry from the complainant revealed that she did not enter into the house. She said that when she opened the door from outside, she found her husband dead inside the house and without entry, proceeded to police station and lodged complaint over the death of her husband. Based on the enquiry from the neighbours, and size of footprints, the author examined the feet of the deceased wife, and collected swab from the feet and conducted spot test in the crime scene itself which showed positive for the presence of blood. As the author TN was a declared footprint expert, he has collected footprints of the wife and gait pattern, following the standard procedure (Nataraja et al., 2008). The result of comparison analysis showed that the footprints and gait pattern from the murder scene and the wife of the deceased are one and the same. The blood stained footprints and gait pattern were left by the wife, the complainant. It is a clear indication of the involvement of the wife to murder her husband. The hair pieces and blood stains collected from the grinding stone and deceased were sent to Forensic Science Laboratory for analysis and laboratory result confirmed the crime scene findings. On interrogation, the wife of the deceased had confessed her crime commission. She that her husband was an alcoholic and used to pick up quarrel with his wife frequently and did not look after the family nor family expenses. On the day of incident, under the influence of alcohol, he picked up quarrel and started beating his wife, and unable to tolerate his atrocity, the wife had picked up the grinding stone found nearby and murdered him. She walked on the blood, leaving blood stained footprints and gait pattern. She came out of the house, and washed her feet and hands in the washroom, located outside the house and then came to the police station and lodged the complaint, as if somebody murdered her husband. The author had prepared a crime scene report and sent to the police officer for the onward transmission to the court. As requisitioned by the court, then forensic crime scene investigator, current Professor of Forensic Sciences had attended the Session Court and presented his testimony in the court. The Honourable Judge accepted value of footprint and gait pattern evidence and at length the case ended with conviction. As a former crime scene investigator, the author had already published his crime scene works involved footprint evidence in cases like property crime, suspicious death etc. (Nataraja & T., 2019). Researchers have confirmed that footprint based gait pattern analysis technique can be utilized with confidence in adult populations for both clinical and research purposes (Wilkinson & Menz, 1997).

Conclusion

The present forensic podiatry crime scene report on footprint based gait pattern, as accepted in the session court, in the absence of any evidence, made it clear that even though the perpetrator used gloves and mask during crime operation to hide the evidence, but still unconsciously leave their foot impressions and gait pattern in the crime scenes that identify the offender scientifically. Thus the investigators need to update their knowledge on gait pattern evidence collection and analysis so as to arrive a definite conclusion in any disputed crime scenes during the investigation process. Otherwise, investigators simply ignored the evidence and lost the chance of identification in the criminal justice system (Nataraja & T., 2017).
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